

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| JASON M. JONES, |) | 3:11-cv-00047-LRH-WGC |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | <u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u> |
| vs. |) | |
| |) | May 18, 2015 |
| DOROTHY NASH HOLMES et al., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant(s) |) | |
| _____ |) | |

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is Plaintiff's "Motion to Find Out if I'm Permitted to Still Due (sic) Interrogatories." (Doc. # 113.) Plaintiff states that due to his lack of legal knowledge his discovery time was barred and therefore seeks a reinstatement of discovery. In the alternative, he requests the court provide him counsel.

The deadline for completion of discovery (June 18, 2013) has long passed. (Doc. # 32, Scheduling Order.) Thus, the answer to Plaintiff's inquiry, submitted in the form of a motion, is no, and that aspect of Plaintiff's motion (Doc. #113) is **DENIED**.

Plaintiff's motion also contains a cursory request to appoint counsel for Plaintiff "so that they may do [the discovery]." This request constitutes Plaintiff's sixth (or possibly seventh) request for appointment of counsel. To the extent Plaintiff's motion (Doc. # 113) constitutes a motion to appoint counsel, it is **DENIED** for the reasons set forth in this court's order (Doc. # 114) denying Plaintiff's sixth motion for appointment of counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk